

Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/566,708

Applicant(s)

HELIE ET AL.

Examiner

TREVOR E. MCGRAW

Art Unit

3752

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Trevor McGraw.

(3) Mrs. Ellen Smith.

(2) Len Tran.

(4) Mr. Stuart Levy.

Date of Interview: 06 December 2011.

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☒ 103 ☐ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kamshita et al and Marelli et al.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Mr. Levy and Mrs. Smith began by giving an overview of the present invention and stepped Examiners through the recited claim language. Distinctions between the prior art and the present invention were pointed out (e.g. no centering means directly adjacent to a top end of the spray head profile). Examiner's agreed that the prior art does not teach a centering means near a top end of the spray head profile as recited and agreed to withdraw the standing rejection. Examiner's stated that an updated search would be conducted to expedite prosecution towards allowance.

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment